

2

## 3 What's in the sandwich? New $P$ – $T$ constraints for the (U)HP nappe stack 4 of southern Dora-Maira Massif (Western Alps)

5 CHIARA GROPPO<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, SIMONA FERRANDO<sup>1</sup>, MANUELE GILIO<sup>1</sup>, SERENA BOTTA<sup>1</sup>, FRANCESCO NOSENZO<sup>1</sup>,  
6 GIANNI BALESTRO<sup>1</sup>, ANDREA FESTA<sup>1</sup> and FRANCO ROLFO<sup>1,2</sup>

7  
8 <sup>1</sup>Department of Earth Sciences, University of Torino, Via Valperga Caluso 35, 10125 Torino, Italy

9 \*Corresponding author, e-mail: [chiara.grosso@unito.it](mailto:chiara.grosso@unito.it)

10 <sup>2</sup>CNR-IGG, Via Valperga Caluso 35, 10125 Torino, Italy

11 **Abstract:** The Brossasco-Isasca Unit (BIU) of the southern Dora-Maira Massif (DMM), Western Alps, is one of the most studied  
12 ultra-high pressure (UHP) units in the world. However, the interpretation of UHP metamorphism in the BIU is still a highly debated  
13 and challenging issue. The structural and tectonometamorphic setting of the southern DMM is described in the literature as a tectonic  
14 “sandwich”, with the UHP unit in the middle, bounded by two high-pressure (HP) eclogitic units in the footwall (the San Chiaffredo  
15 Unit, SCU) and hanging wall (the Rocca Solei Unit, RSU), respectively. These three units are in turn sandwiched between two  
16 blueschist-facies units (the Pinerolo Unit, PU, at the bottom, and the Dronero-Sampeyre Unit, DSU, at the top). In contrast to the  
17 well-constrained  $P$ – $T$  evolution of the BIU, peak  $P$ – $T$  conditions for its bounding HP units are poorly constrained, most studies  
18 dating back to over 20 years ago and mostly relying on conventional thermobarometric methods. This study aims to update our  
19 knowledge about the  $P$ – $T$  evolution experienced by the whole tectonometamorphic package of the southern DMM. For the first time,  
20 peak  $P$ – $T$  conditions and prograde evolution for the five units (PU, SCU, BIU, RSU, DSU) forming the southern DMM tectonic  
21 “sandwich” are estimated using the same, internally consistent and therefore comparable, modern thermobarometric approaches. The  
22 study focuses on metapelites (*i.e.*, garnet-bearing phengitic micaschists) and combines multi-equilibrium thermobarometry (Average  
23  $PT$ ) with the  $P$ – $T$  pseudosection approach. Our results demonstrate that most of the southern DMM nappe stack (*i.e.*, SCU, RSU and  
24 also the PU, that was originally considered as a blueschist-facies unit) experienced eclogite-facies metamorphism under similar peak  
25  $P$ – $T$  conditions (500–520 °C, 20–24 kbar), and followed the same prograde path, suggesting similar burial mechanisms. The UHP  
26 BIU followed an early prograde evolution similar to that of the other eclogitic units of the southern DMM tectonic “sandwich”. The  
27 attainment of UHP peak conditions occurred through an earlier steep, almost isothermal increase in pressure and a later increase in  
28 temperature. The DSU is the only unit of the southern DMM nappe stack that did not experience eclogite-facies metamorphism (peak  
29 metamorphism at blueschist-facies conditions: 450–470 °C, 17–18 kbar) and it is separated from the eclogitic units by a shear zone  
30 (the Valmala Shear Zone), whose interpretation requires further studies. These new data represent the inescapable starting point for  
31 any conceptual model aiming for a deeper understanding of the subduction/exhumation processes of UHP continental units.

32 **Key-words:** (U)HP metamorphism; southern Dora-Maira Massif; peak  $P$ – $T$  conditions; prograde evolution;  $P$ – $T$  pseudosections.

### 35 1. Introduction

36 The first discovery, more than 30 years ago, of coesite in  
37 continental crustal rocks (Chopin, 1984; Smith, 1984),  
38 demonstrated the possibility for continental crust to reach  
39 ultra-high pressure (UHP) conditions. However, the geody-  
40 namic processes responsible for the formation and exhuma-  
41 tion of continental UHP units are still debated (*e.g.*,  
42 Schenker *et al.*, 2015; Reuber *et al.*, 2016; Solarino *et al.*,  
43 2018). Conceptual and numerical models that try to explain  
44 how a continental crustal unit can reach (and can be  
45 exhumed from) UHP conditions are calibrated against geo-  
46 logical and petrological data available from the tectonic  
47 nappe stack which includes the UHP unit itself (*e.g.*, Li  
48 *et al.*, 2010; Burov *et al.*, 2014; Schmalholz *et al.*, 2014;

Gerya, 2015; Schenker *et al.*, 2015). Precise knowledge of 49  
peak pressure and temperature ( $P$ – $T$ ) conditions experienced 50  
by both the UHP unit and its adjacent, often high- $P$  (HP) 51  
units is therefore the crucial starting point to test the validity 52  
of the models (*e.g.*, Manzotti *et al.*, 2015, 2018). 53

The Brossasco-Isasca Unit (BIU) of the southern Dora- 54  
Maira Massif (DMM) in Western Alps, is one of the most 55  
studied UHP units worldwide and has been widely used 56  
in the past as a natural laboratory to discover new UHP min- 57  
erals and to investigate metamorphic processes occurring 58  
during subduction at extreme pressures (*e.g.*, Chopin & 59  
Ferraris, 2003; Schertl & O'Brien, 2013 and references Q1 60  
therein). The models assuming subduction of continental 61  
crust to mantle depths (*e.g.*, Chemenda *et al.*, 1995; Chopin, 62  
2003; Stöckhert & Gerya, 2005; Gerya & Stöckhert, 2006; 63